Mexico belongs in the ABA Area, for sure. It makes sense for all the reasons stated by Retter, and we would be doing Mexico a tremendous favor if we encouraged more tourism south of our border. We would also be doing the ABA membership a big favor, since incredible birds are waiting for us in Mexico.

I hope the ABA will do the right thing and change the ABA boundaries.

— Joe Sweeney San Diego, California

Juvenile or Juvenal?

In a recent letter to the editor (September 2009 *Birding*, p. 14), Steve N. G. Howell advocated dropping the term "juvenal" from the birder's lexicon. In a response, Ted Floyd agreed that the term is unhelpful and wondered if Jonathan Dwight's coining of the term (in his *Sequences of Plumage and Moults of the Passerine Birds of New York*, published in 1900) was intended half-jokingly. Floyd argued that the technical meaning of the term "juvenile" is widely understood among modern birders to refer to birds in "juvenal" plumage, thus obviating the term "juvenal."

As a matter of editorial policy, Floyd stated that *Birding* would henceforth

synonymize the terms "juvenal" and "juvenile," so that only "juvenile" would appear on the pages of this publication. This unilateral change overturns the careful work of an editorial committee headed by the late Claudia Wilds, which established decades ago that *Birding* would adhere to Humphrey-Parkes terminology as a matter of editorial policy.

Unfortunately, I still often hear the term "juvenile" used for any young bird, regardless of plumage. Young gulls, sparrows, and even warblers are referred to inappropriately as juveniles in casual conversation, on field excursions led by local amateurs, and even by professionals who argue that such use remains technically correct. Rarely are these bird actually in juvenal plumage; instead, they are in formative or some other immature plumage. Furthermore, when the term "juvenal" would be totally appropriate, as in the young of many hawk species, I all too often hear these birds labeled as "immature."

In recent years, keen birders have been making advances in field identification through a clear understanding of the fine points of molt and plumage terminology. However, these concepts are not yet fully understood or used properly by the rest of the birding community.

our

asso Bin

flic

teri

tion

inv

The

bat.

tim

Jos

at i

ting

ma

WWW

The real distinction between "juvenal" and "juvenile" was dealt with effectively by Eugene Eisenmann in a 1965 note in the *Auk* ("The use of the terms 'juvenal' and 'juvenile," vol. 82, p. 105). He pointed out that many ornithological texts still used the term "juvenile" as a synonym for "immature," meaning a bird in any plumage before "definitive" (adult) plumage. In museum collections, Eisenmann noted, specimen labels frequently say "juvenile" for birds that are not, in fact, juveniles.

Yes, this was more than 40 years ago, but I agree with Eisenmann. Retention of the appropriate term "juvenal" is still warranted and has no downside that I can see. In this case I think more compelling reasons are necessary to move from an established, precise term to a vague one.

If standard terminology appears obfuscatory or affected, it may be worth taking the time and effort to understand it. If we do not understand a term, the solution is to try to understand it, not to change it to a new term or spelling we think we understand but which actually has a different meaning.

I believe *Birding* should be a leader in bringing clarity and understanding to



our knowledge of plumages, molts, and associated plumage aspects. Readers of *Birding* do not want or need to be inflicted with dumbed down and inexact terminology. That's what I would expect in newspapers and popular publications, but not *Birding*—a rigorously reviewed publication of scientific record in which precision and scientific accuracy are expected.

— Joseph Morlan Pacifica, California

The letter writer and editor have been debating this terminological matter for some time now. Read additional comments from Ted Floyd http://tiny.cc/tFfD9 and Joseph Morlan http://tiny.cc/5OQER at the BirdChat archives. What do ABA members think? Please consider submitting a letter with your thoughts on the matter.

— Editor

Big Island of Hawaii

Hawaii Forest & Trail specializes in taking small, expertly-guided groups into restricted permit areas and exclusive private properties.

Rainforest & Devforest Birding



We explore two rare habitats: the cloudmist rainforest on Mauna Loa and the sub-alpine dry forest of Pu'u La'au on Mauna Kea, two places that represent Hawaii before human contact. Target species: Akiapola'au, Apapane, Amakihi, Elepaio, Hawaii Creeper, 'I'iwi, Omao, and the Pueo.

Hakalau Forest Wildlife Refuge



Hakalau has an incredible density of rare endemic birds. Target species are: Akepa, Omao, 'Ifwi,'Akiapola'au, Elepaio, Apapane, Pueo, and the Hawaiian Creeper. We access Hakalau by special permit. Not open to the public.

All of our birding tours are full-day adventures that are superbly equipped and fully catered.

www.hawaii-forest.com toll free: 800-464-1993 info@hawaii-forest.com





EXOTIC BIRDING

Tours to Central & South America

Small Groups (4-8) Expert Birding Guides

Jan 30-Feb 7 2010 Costa Rica

Feb 13-21, 2010 Panama Chiriqui

Feb 21-27, 2010 Panama Canal Zone

Mar 21-31, 2010 Guyana

May 8-16, 2010 Belize/Tikal

Jun 5-20, 2010 Southern Ecuador

Nov 7-19, 2010 Ecuador Bird Photo

Nov 18-24, 2010 Ecuador Andes

Nov 23-Dec 5,2010 Ecuador Amazon

www.exoticbirding.com 877 247 3371

